

RESULTS

Prevalence of IPV and Psychological Distress

Table 1 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among the sample. The prevalence of IPV was 17.9% (95% CI = 15.2%–20.6%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 27.9% (95% CI = 25.2%–30.6%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship than among those who were not currently in a relationship (19.2% vs. 14.8%, $p = .002$).

Table 2 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship. The prevalence of IPV was 19.2% (95% CI = 16.5%–21.9%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 28.8% (95% CI = 26.1%–31.5%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (20.8% vs. 17.6%, $p = .002$).

Table 3 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 20.8% (95% CI = 18.1%–23.5%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 29.7% (95% CI = 27.0%–32.4%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (22.4% vs. 19.2%, $p = .002$).

Table 4 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 22.4% (95% CI = 19.7%–25.1%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 30.6% (95% CI = 27.9%–33.3%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (24.0% vs. 20.8%, $p = .002$).

Table 5 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 24.0% (95% CI = 21.3%–26.7%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 31.5% (95% CI = 28.8%–34.2%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (25.6% vs. 22.4%, $p = .002$).

Table 6 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 25.6% (95% CI = 22.9%–28.3%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 32.4% (95% CI = 29.7%–35.1%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (27.2% vs. 24.0%, $p = .002$).

Table 7 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 27.2% (95% CI = 24.5%–29.9%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 33.3% (95% CI = 30.6%–36.0%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (28.8% vs. 27.2%, $p = .002$).

Table 8 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 28.8% (95% CI = 26.1%–31.5%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 34.2% (95% CI = 31.5%–36.9%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (30.4% vs. 28.8%, $p = .002$).

Table 9 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 30.4% (95% CI = 27.7%–33.1%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 35.1% (95% CI = 32.4%–37.8%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (32.0% vs. 30.4%, $p = .002$).

Table 10 shows the prevalence of IPV and psychological distress among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner. The prevalence of IPV was 32.0% (95% CI = 29.3%–34.7%), and the prevalence of psychological distress was 36.0% (95% CI = 33.3%–38.7%). The prevalence of IPV was significantly higher among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were also currently in a relationship with their current partner than among women who were currently in a relationship with their current partner and who were not currently in a relationship with their current partner (33.6% vs. 32.0%, $p = .002$).

PAHI 2002 STAFF

 sky Director

Greg Owens Math Instructor/Academic Coordinator

 sky

 sky

 sky


 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky

 sky



Kani Staff 2002



1. A. S. Srinivasan, 2. K. S. Srinivasan, 3. K. S. Srinivasan, 4. K. S. Srinivasan, 5. K. S. Srinivasan, 6. K. S. Srinivasan, 7. K. S. Srinivasan, 8. K. S. Srinivasan, 9. K. S. Srinivasan, 10. K. S. Srinivasan, 11. K. S. Srinivasan, 12. K. S. Srinivasan, 13. K. S. Srinivasan, 14. K. S. Srinivasan, 15. K. S. Srinivasan, 16. K. S. Srinivasan, 17. K. S. Srinivasan, 18. K. S. Srinivasan, 19. K. S. Srinivasan, 20. K. S. Srinivasan, 21. K. S. Srinivasan, 22. K. S. Srinivasan, 23. K. S. Srinivasan, 24. K. S. Srinivasan, 25. K. S. Srinivasan, 26. K. S. Srinivasan, 27. K. S. Srinivasan, 28. K. S. Srinivasan, 29. K. S. Srinivasan, 30. K. S. Srinivasan, 31. K. S. Srinivasan, 32. K. S. Srinivasan, 33. K. S. Srinivasan, 34. K. S. Srinivasan, 35. K. S. Srinivasan, 36. K. S. Srinivasan, 37. K. S. Srinivasan, 38. K. S. Srinivasan, 39. K. S. Srinivasan, 40. K. S. Srinivasan, 41. K. S. Srinivasan, 42. K. S. Srinivasan, 43. K. S. Srinivasan, 44. K. S. Srinivasan, 45. K. S. Srinivasan, 46. K. S. Srinivasan, 47. K. S. Srinivasan, 48. K. S. Srinivasan, 49. K. S. Srinivasan, 50. K. S. Srinivasan, 51. K. S. Srinivasan, 52. K. S. Srinivasan, 53. K. S. Srinivasan, 54. K. S. Srinivasan, 55. K. S. Srinivasan, 56. K. S. Srinivasan, 57. K. S. Srinivasan, 58. K. S. Srinivasan, 59. K. S. Srinivasan, 60. K. S. Srinivasan, 61. K. S. Srinivasan, 62. K. S. Srinivasan, 63. K. S. Srinivasan, 64. K. S. Srinivasan, 65. K. S. Srinivasan, 66. K. S. Srinivasan, 67. K. S. Srinivasan, 68. K. S. Srinivasan, 69. K. S. Srinivasan, 70. K. S. Srinivasan, 71. K. S. Srinivasan, 72. K. S. Srinivasan, 73. K. S. Srinivasan, 74. K. S. Srinivasan, 75. K. S. Srinivasan, 76. K. S. Srinivasan, 77. K. S. Srinivasan, 78. K. S. Srinivasan, 79. K. S. Srinivasan, 80. K. S. Srinivasan, 81. K. S. Srinivasan, 82. K. S. Srinivasan, 83. K. S. Srinivasan, 84. K. S. Srinivasan, 85. K. S. Srinivasan, 86. K. S. Srinivasan, 87. K. S. Srinivasan, 88. K. S. Srinivasan, 89. K. S. Srinivasan, 90. K. S. Srinivasan, 91. K. S. Srinivasan, 92. K. S. Srinivasan, 93. K. S. Srinivasan, 94. K. S. Srinivasan, 95. K. S. Srinivasan, 96. K. S. Srinivasan, 97. K. S. Srinivasan, 98. K. S. Srinivasan, 99. K. S. Srinivasan, 100. K. S. Srinivasan.





What is your favorite part of RAHI?

What are your future goals?

What is your favorite part of RAHI?



Arlo Blake Beetus

Originally from Hughes, Alaska now residing in Fairbanks, Alaska.

Best part of RAHI: I like a lot of things; it is hard to pick a few. I would be Native Dance Class, Twin Bears, and teasing 'Tricia.'

Future goals: I plan to earn a degree in aeronautical science at UAA. I would also like to earn degrees in medical and environmental science from UAA. Marry a nice girl and raise a cub of...
I just wanted to say thank you!

Ashenfelter "Gaisy"

originally from Fairbanks, Alaska now residing in Fairbanks, Alaska. attend Mt. Edgecumbe High School.

Best part of RAHI: Getting to know everyone. It helped me out a lot. Now I know what to expect. I can't forget all the awesome people I have met here. It was a fun six weeks. I will always remember the time we spent together. Go to college don't know where yet. to become an optometrist or maybe an architect.



...to not pick
... Everybody is
...remely nice, and I enjoyed talking with all of them.
Even though I heard, it was exactly what
I need to prepare me for college. I enjoyed the water
get Dallas back for putting cheese in my hair.
Twin bears was great, but I really don't like being
teased, Ario.
The experience good and bad was the best part.

...the way and my hair for years.
But the goal is to become a doctor.





Future goals: Right now I am just focused on getting through college.

and a teacher. I'm a mechanical engineer





Minnie Lucy Naylor
From: Kotzebue, Alaska

Nathan Moran
From: Metlakatla


at value village,

Best part of RAHI: meeting new people, eating the wonderful

Best part of RAHI: Extensive shopping, free food, the hilarious idiosyncratic, nameless, repeated nicknames

...ing the wonderful ... musical chairs, ... over s






Wale Okitkun
From: Noorvik

Best part of RAHI: Meeting staff and students and
using those papers, now I'm doing everything I need
to know before going to college. To all my friends,
I'll miss you guys, but hopefully I'll see you again.



Michael
From: Trapper Creek

Best part of RAHI: The best part of RAHI is the people
I met and the teachers. Future goals: A master's degree in
then perhaps a Ph.D.,



Charis Lynae Sheldon
From: Noorvik, Alaska

re and meeting new people.
college and major in computer science, also
rchitecture/civil drafting. I plan to get
a happy life.



Best part of RAHI: Going to Trapper Creek is an
to worry about homework! Also, hanging out with
everyone on the weekends. These are my most
enjoyable memories at RAHI.

Future goals: Right now I don't have any specific goals,
but after I graduate I'd like to further my education and
attend college.



Charles D. Seater
From: Nikiski

Best part of RAHI: Being here
Future goals: I plan to go to
engineering and minor in
certificate in
married and live




Sy. Michael



Laura Sorensen

Timothy Snowball




11:28 AM

11:28 AM

11:28 AM

11:28 AM





Ann Wilson
From: Almost

Lucy Kibun
From: Kiana, Alati

best part of HAH! I enjoyed Mr. Owens math class and meeting new people.
Future goals: I would like to graduate from Harvard
MediS
I would like to finish high school and
encourage others to
to college.





Darren Zam
From: N33

Shelly Woods
From: Dillie










Instructional Staff PALS



Instructional Staff PALS

Instructional Staff RAH 2020

11/11/20

11/11/20 11:11 AM

11/11/20 11:11 AM

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20 11:11 AM

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20 11:11 AM

11/11/20 11:11 AM

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20 11:11 AM

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

11/11/20

Norm Staff BAHI 2002



11:00 AM - 12:00 PM

1:00

2:00

3:00

4:00

5:00

6:00

7:00

8:00

9:00

10:00



Dorm Staff BAHI 2022

Larissa Gal
Tutor/Counselor

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

11/11/2022

Dorm Staff RAHI 2022

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8


9

10





1.5.3









Swim Class 2002



Chemistry & Math Class 2002



Stay in Touch 2002

DUSTIN BEAV

GEORGE,
OSSIE

GUTH,
KAIFAUK

PO BOX 70934,
Professor PO BOX 183

FAIRBANKS, AK 99707
DILLINGHAM AK 99576

Stay in Touch 2002

First Name Last Name

Address

City, State Zip

BOESHART, IN A.	PO BOX 706	METLA, AK.99926	DUS
CAMPBELL,	1550 LAZY LOON WAY,	FAIRBANKS, AK.99709	PATRICIA, CHARLENE,
FLAIN,	PO BOX 295,	ANIAK, AK.99557	JO

