### CHAPTER II

# Initial Appointment of Faculty

## A. Criteria for Initial Appointment

Minimum degree, experience, and performance requirements are set forth in "UAF Faculty Policies," Chapter IV. Exceptions to thesquirements for initial placement in academic rank or special academic rank positions shall be submitted to the Chancellor or Chancellor's designee for approval prior to final selection decision.

## B. Academic Titles

Academic titles must reflect the disciplin which the faculty are appointed.

- C. Process for Appointment of Faculty with Academic Rank
  Deans or schools and colleges, and directobres appropriate, in conjunction with the
  faculty in a unit shall establish procedufesadvertisement, rest and selection of
  candidates to fill any vacant faculty position. These procedures are set by UAF Human
  Resources and the Campus Diversity and Ciampus (AA/EEO) office and shall provide for
  participation in hiring by faculty and administrators as a unit.
- D. Process for Appointment of Faculty with Special Academic Rank
  Deans and/or directors, in conjunction with faculty in a unit, shall establish procedures
  for advertisement, review, and selection of candidates to fill any faculty positions as they
  become available. Such procedures shaddons is tent with the university's stated AA/EEO
  policies and shall provide for participation in the unit.

# E. Following the Selection Process

The dean or director shall point the new faculty member and advise him/her of the conditions, benefits, and obligations of the ipos. If the appointment is to be at the professor level, the dean/director must forstain the concurrence of the chancellor or chancellor's designee.

### F. Letter of Appointment

The initial letter of appointment shall specify the naturation assignment, the percentage emphasis that is to be placed on each optarts of the faculty responsibility, mandatory year of tenure review, and any special ditions relating to the appointment.

This letter of appointment establishes the naththe position and, while the percentage of emphasis for each part may vary with each want distribution as specified in the annual workload agreement document, the part(s) defining the position may not.

# CHAPTER III

# Periodic Evaluation of Faculty

# A. General Criteria

Criteria outlined in "UAF Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies," Chapter IV evaluators may consider, but shall not be limited to, whichever of the following are appropriate to the faculty member's profesal obligation: mastery of subject matter;

### Effective teachers:

- a. are highly organized, plan carefully, use slame efficiently, have clear objectives, have high expectations for students;
- b. express positive regard for students yelop good rapport with students ow interest/enthusiasm for the subjecting taught
- emphasize and encourage student participatsk questions, frequently monitor student participation for student learning and teacher effectiveness, are sensitive to studentdiversity;
- d. emphasize regular feedback to studentist reward student learning success;
- e. demonstrate content mastery, discuss cuintot matter and divergent points of view, relate topics to othersatiplines, deliver material an appropriate level;
- f. regularly develop new courses, worksho**pd** aeminars and use a variety of methods of instructional deliveryand instructional design;
- g. may receive prizes and awards for excellence in teaching;
- h. successfully mentor graduate students;
- i. may write articles on teaching methods, develop case studies, organize teaching workshops, or prepare coursedules for broad distribution.
- 2. Components of Evaluation

Effectiveness in teaching will be evaluated through information on formal and informal teaching, course and curriculum materiabruiting and advising, training/guiding graduate students, etc., provided by:

- a. systematic student ratings, i.e. satutdopinion of instruction summary forms,
- and at least two of the following:
- b. narrative self-evaluation,
- c. peer/department chair classroom observation(s),
- d. peer/department chair evaluation of course materials.

Teaching is an important role of fisheriesidin faculty. Facultynembers discharge their responsibility by teaching formal courses, advising undergraduate and graduate students, directing independent sties (497 or 697), supervisiexperiential learning and

internships, and conducting informaticses or workshops. Teaching and advising graduate students is more demanding thanhing and advising undergraduate students; nevertheless, the extra effortulity invest in graduate tearth advising are central to fisheries division's mission. Faculty workload assignments may reflect dissimilar loads related to formal classroom teaching anadignate and undergraduate advising loads; however the guideline expectation is that faculty members will teach at least four academic credits in the classroom each year. Qualityast room teaching is indicated by peer evaluations of course materials, peer evaluations of regarder formance, and the recurring level of enrollment in classes. Qualaduate advising is indicated by the success of students in completing degrees undefatulty member's supervision, and in their subsequent employment in professionacientific capacities. Faculty will be recognized for advising non-SFOS gradstattents in the sameay that they are recognized for advising SFOS graduate stadAdditional evidence of teaching success includes prizes and awards for teaching, ltse student evaluations, publications based on students' thesis or dissertation reseaschdent presentations at regional, national and international meetings; and awards to students.

Recognizing that workload assignmentally among faculty members the guideline expectation is that a candidate for tenure paromotion to associate professor should be able to identify at least two success fraduate students who have completed degrees under her or his supervision and that a cantel lidear promotion to professor should be able to identify at least six success fraduates. Candidates for promotion should be able to identify at least two regulps cheduled courses that they have developed or have primary responsibility for delivering and which are transition the undergraduate or graduate program requirements.

- C. Criteria for Research, Scholally, and Creative Activity
  Inquiry and originality are ceral functions of a land gra/sea grant/spaceagnt university
  and all faculty with a research component in their assignmentate remain active as scholars.
  Consequently, faculty are expected to condensearch or engage in other scholarly or
  creative pursuits that are appropriate the mission of their unit, and equally important
  results of their work must be disseminated bugh media appropriate their discipline.
  Furthermore, it is important to emphasize the distinction betweetime production and
  creative excellence as evaluated by an individual's peers at the University of Alaska and
  elsewhere.
  - 1. Achievement in Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activity
    Whatever the contribution, research, slarly or creative activities must
    have one or more of thellowing characteristics:
    - a. They must occur in a public forum.
    - b. They must be evaluated by appropriate peers.
    - c. They must be evaluated by peers externalisoinstitution so as to allow an objective judgment.

- d. They must be judged to make a contribution.
- 2. Components of Research, Scholarly and Creative Activity
  Evidence of excellence in research, scholarly and creative activity may be demonstrated through, but not limited to:
  - a. Books, reviews, monographs, bulletins, calets, proceedings and other scholarly works published by reputable ujrnals, scholarly pressess, d publishing houses that accept works only after rigorous review dapproval by peers in the discipline.
  - b. Competitive grants and contracts to finance the development of ideas; these grants and contracts being subject to **rigo**s peer review and approval.
  - c. Presentation of research papers beforented societies that caept papers only after rigorous review and approval by peers.
  - d. Exhibitions of art works at alleries, selection for the exhibitions being based on rigorous review and approval by pegissies, recognized artists, or critics.
  - e. Performance in recitals or production specion for these performances being based on stringent auditions and appral by appropriate judges.
  - f. Scholarly reviews of publications, art works and performance of the candidate.
  - g. Citations of research ischolarly publications.
  - h. Published abstracts of research papers.
  - Reprints or quotations of plintations, reproductions of antorks, and descriptions of interpretations in the performing arts, these materials appearing in reputable works of the discipline.
  - j. Prizes and awards for extlence of scholarship.
  - Awards of special fellowships for researchactistic activities or selection of tours of duty at special institutes for advanced study.
  - Development of processes or instrumenteful in solving problems, such as computer programs and systems for the properties data, genetic plant and animal material, and where appropriate obtainpatents and/or copyrights for said development.

Faculty in fisheries applying for promotioteoure must present evidence of substantial, high-quality contributions insered in the search. While there is no stilluste for the exercise of good judgment on the part of those who cartled upon to assess research and scholarly

productivity, it is the responsibility of each buffty member to explain and/or otherwise provide evidence of the significance of their research and scholarly activities. The varied nature of research and scholarly contributionake it difficult to identify simple criteria for assessing the quality and significance of sacritributions. In general, the primary evidence of high quality research is publication of research results and interpretations in respected peer-reviewed journals, booksthorn media and evidence of substantial contribution to research is painly authorship by the applicant his or her student, or leadership as principal investigator of thesearch—quality, as judged by SFOS faculty peers, is more important than quan his vertheless, faculty with typical workload assignments are expected to authoreast one refereed publication per year. Thus candidates for tenure and promotion to assection are expected to have authored at least six refereed publications; candidator promotion to pressor are expected to have authored at least twelve referentializations. These expectations should be interpreted in the context of actival rkload and adjusted accordingly.

Each promotion applicant's complete publicantecord, including papers published before they were affiliated with the UAF Fisheriesion, is relevant to tenure and promotion decisions. In addition, the natural their workload assignments and their opportunity for publication throughout their career leading uper thiew date is considered relevant to promotion and tenure decisions. Additional throughout their career leading uper the distribution of the considered relevant to promotion and tenure decisions. Additional throughout the considered relevant to the considered relevant to promotion and tenure decisions.

- e. Service on collective bargaining it nommittees or elected office.
- f. Service in support of studeotganizations and activities.
- g. Academic support services suchliberary and museum programs.
- h. Assisting other faculty or units with currilum planning and delivery of instruction, such as serving as guest lecturer.
- i. Mentoring.
- j. Prizes and awards for excellence in university service.

#### 3. Professional Service

- a. Editing or refereeing articles or proposalsprofessional journals organizations.
- b. Active participation inprofessional organizations.
- c. Active participation in disciplier-oriented service organizations.
- d. Committee chair or officer of officer off
- e. Organizer, session organizer, or moderator for professional meetings.
- f. Service on a national or international revipeanel or committee.
- g. Editing or refereeing articles or propostats professional journa or organizations.

### 4. Other Service: Curation

Curators at the University of Alaska Museumhold a tenure-track faculty position. Rank and tenure are held within departments Aff, and curators are thus treated as joint appointments between a department and threathing of Alaska Museum. As is the case for all tenure-track faculty in Fisheries, curator's performances are evaluated on the basis of their activities in teaching, research, and service.

- a. Curation involves the management and developm a formally recognized university collection that exists to serve as a research resource for students and researchers at university, state, national, and internationals. Examples of ratorial activities include, but are not limited to:
  - (i). Maintaining, enhancing, and enlar**thie**gcollection (includes computerization and database development, archipagrades, specimen conservation and identification, and adding specimensobjects to existing collection);
  - (ii). Interacting with state and federælnæiges and with the public on collections-related issues:
  - (iii). Facilitating collections use throughnls, exchanges, and iting researchers;
  - (iv). Maintaining appropriate pern(tits needed for the collections);

## Professor and curator

Significant development of the collectionder the curator's care is expected. This development includes sustained growtthefcollections as research resources and as a means of fulfilling the museumission of acquiring, preserving in perpetuity, investigating, and interpretion bjects and specimens relating to the natural and or cultural history of Alaskal the circumpolar north. Significance of collections will be measured in termessearch significance, value to University of